

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
Overview of Housing Needs for Individuals with Disabilities in Georgia	4
Importance of Affordable and Accessible Housing	6
Existing Programs and Initiatives	7
LIHTC	7
Housing Choice Voucher Program	9
HOME ACCESS PROGRAM	10
Recommendations for Improvement	12
Conclusion	15
References	17

Introduction

Affordable and accessible housing is not just a fundamental human right but also a cornerstone of community well-being and prosperity. In Georgia, the urgency for such housing is particularly pronounced among individuals with disabilities. This demographic encounter unique challenges that intersect with broader issues of economic disparity, public health, and social equity. Data from American Disability Rights (sighting the American Community Survey) in 2016 reveals that approximately 12.9% of Georgia's population reported having a disability. This considerable segment spans various age groups and disability types, highlighting the diverse and multifaceted needs within the community. Addressing these needs is not only a moral imperative but also a practical necessity for fostering an inclusive, vibrant, and resilient society.

The landscape of affordable and accessible housing in Georgia is a complex mix of challenges and opportunities. While numerous housing units exist, their affordability and accessibility fall short of meeting the demand. With the median gross rent in Georgia standing at \$1,222, many individuals, especially those with disabilities, face substantial affordability challenges. Furthermore, the availability of accessible housing units is insufficient, exacerbating the difficulties faced by this vulnerable population. This gap between the demand for and supply of accessible housing underscores a critical area in need of urgent attention and intervention.

Inadequate housing impacts more than just the immediate need for shelter. Stable, affordable housing is crucial for economic development, public health, and social equity. It influences a broad range of factors, from attracting businesses and stimulating local economies to improving health outcomes and fostering social cohesion. Research consistently shows that access to stable housing reduces healthcare costs, enhances mental and physical well-being, and supports economic mobility. For individuals with disabilities, accessible housing is particularly crucial as it significantly impacts their ability to live independently and participate fully in society.

Moreover, the challenges in accessing suitable housing for individuals with disabilities are multifaceted. They face discrimination, a glaring lack of accessibility features, affordability issues, and limited housing options. Long waiting lists for accessible housing programs further compound the problem, leaving many individuals in precarious living situations. Detailed data on the percentage of individuals with disabilities living in institutions or group homes versus community-based settings is essential for assessing the level of community integration and identifying areas for improvement.

Effectively addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive and collaborative approach. Policymakers, housing developers, community stakeholders, advocacy groups, and existing properties with low occupancy rates must unite to expand affordable and accessible housing options. Such collaboration can lead to innovative solutions that meet the diverse needs of individuals with disabilities, ensuring that all Georgians can live in safe, affordable, and accessible homes.

Overview of Housing Needs for Individuals with Disabilities in Georgia

Impact of Housing on Quality of Life for Individuals with Disabilities

Affordable and accessible housing is a linchpin in the quality of life for individuals with disabilities in Georgia. Reflecting on data from the American Disability Rights, about 12.9% of Georgia's population reported a disability in 2016. This demographic slice sights various age brackets, genders, and disability types, illustrating the various needs of the disabled community. Zooming in on working-age individuals (21 to 64 years), median annual earnings for those with disabilities clocked in at \$40,300. However, this figure isn't uniform—it fluctuates based on the type of disability. Even with employment, poverty casts a long shadow, with a 25.3% poverty rate among disabled individuals, starkly contrasted with 11.0% for their non-disabled counterparts. This stark economic disparity underscores the formidable challenges faced by this demographic.



Current State of Accessible and Affordable Housing in Georgia



Georgia's housing landscape is a mixed bag of challenges and potential. Despite a substantial number of housing units, affordable options are few and far between. The Fair Market median rent in Georgia hovers at \$1,222, while the actual median rent is \$2,000, making it a steep climb, especially for those with disabilities. In Atlanta, this figure rises even higher, with the median rent for all types of housing reaching \$2,128 as of June 2024. Accessibility remains a significant issue as well.

The supply of accessible housing units is woefully inadequate, falling short of the demand within the disabled population. Hard numbers on accessible housing availability in Georgia are vital—they shine a light on the accessibility gap and highlight the pressing need for more suitable housing options. Moreover, comparing median rent and homeownership costs to the median income of individuals with disabilities reveals the stark affordability barriers they face.

Challenges Faced by Individuals with Disabilities in Accessing Suitable Housing

The quest for suitable housing for individuals with disabilities in Georgia is filled with obstacles. Discrimination, a glaring lack of accessibility features, affordability issues, and a shortage of housing options are just the tip of the iceberg. Detailed data on the percentage of individuals with disabilities living in institutions or group homes versus community-based settings is crucial—it gauges community integration levels and highlights the need for supportive housing services. Tackling these challenges head-on requires a comprehensive approach. Policymakers, housing developers, and community stakeholders must join forces to expand affordable, accessible housing options and ensure equal housing opportunities for individuals with disabilities in Georgia.

Importance of Affordable and Accessible Housing

Affordable and accessible housing isn't just about providing shelter; it's a cornerstone of social welfare, economic vitality, and community resilience. Its influence stretches far and wide, touching on economic development, public health, social equity, and sustainability. Delving deep into the issue, it becomes crystal clear that investing in affordable housing isn't just necessary to meet housing needs—it's essential for building inclusive, prosperous, and resilient communities.

Economic Impact: Driving Prosperity Through Affordable Housing

Affordable housing acts as a powerful engine for economic growth, delivering a host of benefits that resonate strongly with policymakers. One of its most significant contributions? Attracting businesses. Companies, large and small, are drawn to areas offering diverse housing options at various price points. Affordable housing helps lure and keep talent, ensuring a skilled workforce that drives economic activity, creates jobs, and boosts local demand for goods and services.

Studies back this up: the National Association of Realtors points to affordable housing as a key factor in businesses' decisions to expand or relocate. Research from the Urban Land Institute highlights its crucial role in boosting cities' economic competitiveness. Moreover, affordable housing projects stimulate job creation and local economies through construction and rehabilitation. These projects generate jobs across sectors and spur demand for materials and services, benefiting local businesses. Residents of affordable housing, in turn, spend their income locally, fueling economic vibrancy and sustainability.

Public Health and Well-being: Nurturing Healthy Communities Through Stable Housing

The link between stable, affordable housing and public health is profound. Safe and secure housing is a fundamental determinant of health, particularly for vulnerable populations facing housing insecurity. Stable housing offers security, stability, and a sense of belonging—key elements for physical and mental health.

Research shows the adverse health effects of housing instability and homelessness: chronic illnesses, mental health issues, substance abuse, and infectious diseases are more prevalent among those without stable housing. Providing stable housing can mitigate these risks, reduce healthcare costs, and improve overall well-being. Furthermore, preventing homelessness—a significant public health concern that exacerbates health disparities—is crucial. Affordable housing initiatives offer a lifeline, paving the way for permanent housing solutions and reducing reliance on emergency shelters and social support programs.

Social Equity: Advancing Inclusivity and Justice Through Affordable Housing

Social equity is at the heart of ensuring that everyone has access to affordable and accessible housing. Affordable housing initiatives dismantle systemic barriers and address housing disparities faced by marginalized groups, including low-income households, minorities, and individuals with disabilities.

Affordable housing tackles the affordability challenges that force low-income households to choose between housing and other basic needs, perpetuating poverty. By providing stable and affordable housing, these initiatives break down these barriers, offering a path to stability. They also promote racial and ethnic integration, combating residential segregation driven by historical discrimination. By offering housing in areas with better access to opportunities and amenities, affordable housing fosters inclusive and diverse neighborhoods.

For individuals with disabilities, affordable housing initiatives prioritize accessibility, ensuring suitable housing and supportive services. By removing barriers to accessibility and affordability, these initiatives create inclusive, resilient communities that uphold justice and equality.

Resilience and Sustainability: Navigating Challenges Through Housing Innovation

Investing in affordable and accessible housing is key to fostering community resilience and sustainability. Through energy-efficient designs, disaster resilience measures, and sustainable urban development, policymakers can create housing that meets residents' needs while mitigating environmental impacts.

Energy-efficient housing reduces energy consumption, lowers utility costs, and cuts greenhouse gas emissions. Features like high-performance insulation, energy-efficient windows, and renewable energy integration make housing affordable, comfortable, and sustainable. Disaster resilience measures, such as reinforced structures and flood mitigation strategies, protect communities from hazards, enhancing their ability to recover and rebuild.

Sustainable urban development focuses on creating healthy, livable, and sustainable communities through compact, mixed-use development, efficient transportation, and green infrastructure. Policies promoting transit-oriented development, mixed-use areas, and green building standards create vibrant neighborhoods that support economic growth, social equity, and environmental stewardship.

Conclusion: A Call to Action for Affordable and Accessible Housing

In conclusion, affordable and accessible housing is crucial. It's a powerful driver of economic development, public health, social equity, and sustainability, shaping communities and the well-being of residents. By investing in affordable housing, policymakers can address pressing social needs, build inclusive and resilient communities, and unlock social and economic benefits for all. As we face the challenges of the 21st century, affordable and accessible housing remains vital for a more just, equitable, and sustainable future.

Existing Programs and Initiatives

LIHTC

Overview of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTCO

The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program, established by the Tax Reform Act of 1986, stands as a cornerstone of affordable housing development in the United States. Its primary goal is to incentivize private investment in the creation and refurbishment of affordable rental housing, aiming to provide safe and decent homes for low-income individuals and families.

Purpose and Allocation

LIHTC operates through a system of state housing finance agencies (HFAs) in partnership with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Each state receives an annual allocation of LIHTCs based on its population size. These credits are then distributed to eligible affordable housing projects through a competitive application process overseen by the HFAs.

Tax Credits and Investment Structure

LIHTCs are dollar-for-dollar credits against federal income tax liabilities for ten years. The amount of tax credit awarded to a project is calculated as a percentage (usually between 9% and 4%) of the eligible development costs, excluding land acquisition. These credits are claimed annually by the project's investors over the ten-year period.

Typically, LIHTC projects involve a partnership between a for-profit developer and one or more investors seeking to reduce their tax liability. Investors provide upfront equity financing in exchange for the tax credits generated by the project.

Compliance Requirements and Impact

To qualify for LIHTCs, affordable housing properties must adhere to specific rent and income restrictions and comply with federal regulations for a minimum compliance period, typically 15 years (or 30 years for projects receiving tax-exempt bond financing). This ensures that the housing remains affordable for low-income households over an extended period.

Since its inception, the LIHTC program has played a pivotal role in the development of affordable rental housing nationwide. It has financed the construction and rehabilitation of millions of housing units, providing safe and decent homes for low-income families, seniors, individuals with disabilities, and other vulnerable populations.

Support for Local Economies

LIHTC projects not only address the critical need for affordable housing but also stimulate economic activity and create jobs in communities. The construction and operation of affordable housing developments generate employment opportunities in various sectors, including construction, property management, and supportive services.

LIHTC Benefits and Challenges

Pros:

- Incentivizing Investment: The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program acts as a
 powerful magnet for private investment in affordable rental housing. By dangling substantial tax
 credits, it attracts significant capital to this crucial sector, energizing efforts to create more
 affordable living spaces.
- Economic Stimulation: LIHTC projects are economic engines. They spark local economies, generating a wide array of employment opportunities—construction, property management, supportive services—each playing a part. This surge in jobs boosts economic activity, revitalizing communities.
- Long-term Affordability: Ensuring that affordable housing properties stay within reach for low-income households isn't a short-term game. LIHTC guarantees this accessibility for 15 to 30 years, offering long-term stability to those who need it most, providing a sense of security for vulnerable populations.
- Addressing Housing Needs: LIHTC has been a game-changer in affordable housing, funding the
 construction and rehabilitation of millions of units. It meets the critical housing needs of lowincome families, seniors, individuals with disabilities, and other vulnerable groups, making a
 tangible difference in countless lives.

Cons:

- Complexity and Barriers: Navigating LIHTC projects is no simple task. The complexity and specialized knowledge required erect significant barriers, especially for smaller communitybased developers. This often hinders their access to funding and participation in the program.
- Favoring Large Developments: LIHTC's primary focus on large multifamily rental buildings leaves gaps. Moderate-income or "missing middle" housing needs remain unaddressed, and homeownership projects are often excluded. This bias can limit the program's broader impact.
- Limited Innovation: The structure of LIHTC leans heavily toward all-affordable proposals, often at the expense of mixed-income developments. This preference can stifle innovation in housing models and hinder the creation of diverse, inclusive communities.
- Political and Industry Challenges: The landscape of the LIHTC industry is fraught with political challenges and vested interests. These factors complicate efforts to reform and diversify affordable housing financing, potentially stalling necessary changes and improvements.

Conclusion: Embracing Diversification for a Resilient Housing Future

The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program has undeniably revolutionized the affordable housing landscape, yet its very dominance presents a series of daunting challenges. While it has successfully spurred the development of large multifamily rental buildings, this focus leaves substantial gaps—particularly for moderate-income or "missing middle" housing, and it almost entirely bypasses homeownership projects. The program's structure heavily leans towards all-affordable proposals, often sidelining innovative mixed-income developments. This rigidity stifles creativity and limits the emergence of diverse housing models.

The labyrinthine complexity of LIHTC projects, coupled with the specialized expertise they demand, erects formidable barriers for smaller, community-based developers. These local visionaries frequently find themselves shut out of the funding arena; their potential contributions stymied by the program's intricate requirements. Political entanglements and vested interests within the LIHTC industry further muddy the waters, complicating efforts to implement necessary reforms and diversify affordable housing financing.

Thus, while LIHTC's impact is significant, its predominant position necessitates a critical examination and a strategic pivot towards diversification. A robust, balanced, and effective affordable housing ecosystem cannot rely on a single funding source. We need an array of financial instruments and innovative approaches to fill the existing gaps and meet diverse housing needs. Policymakers must champion diversification to foster housing diversity, spur innovation, and ensure equitable access to funding. Addressing these multifaceted challenges is crucial for crafting a future where safe, affordable homes are within reach for all—creating communities that are not only resilient and inclusive but also vibrantly diverse and innovative.

Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) Program

Overview of the Housing Choice Voucher Program

The Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program, administered by local Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) under the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), is a vital initiative aimed at providing affordable housing options to very low-income families, the elderly, and individuals with disabilities. This program allows participants to select housing of their choice in the private market, promoting independence and stability.

Program Functionality

Participants issued a housing voucher are responsible for finding suitable housing where the landlord agrees to rent under the program guidelines. The selected housing must meet health and safety standards set by the PHA. The PHA calculates a payment standard based on local market conditions, determining the maximum subsidy a family can receive. Families contribute 30% of their monthly adjusted income towards rent and utilities, with the voucher covering the remainder.

HCV Benefits and Challenges

Pros:

- Promoting Housing Stability: Helps families maintain stable housing, reducing homelessness and housing instability.
- Choice and Independence: Participants can choose housing that meets their family's needs, promoting independence and community integration.

Cons:

- Limited Funding and High Demand: Faces constraints due to limited federal funding allocations and high demand for affordable housing, resulting in long waiting lists.
- Landlord Acceptance: Despite federal mandates, some landlords may choose not to accept vouchers, limiting housing options for participants.

Conclusion: Ensuring Housing Stability with Voucher Program

The Housing Choice Voucher program plays a crucial role in addressing housing affordability and stability for vulnerable populations across the United States. While facing challenges such as funding limitations and landlord acceptance issues, the program remains a cornerstone in promoting housing independence and reducing homelessness. Continued advocacy and support are essential to enhance the program's effectiveness and expand its reach to more families in need.

HOME ACCESS PROGRAM

Overview of the Home Access Program (HAP)

The Georgia Department of Community Affairs' (DCA) Home Access Program (HAP) is an essential initiative aimed at fostering independence for individuals with disabilities by facilitating home modifications. These modifications empower disabled individuals to reside safely in their homes, avoiding unnecessary institutionalization in nursing homes. Moreover, the program aids those transitioning from nursing homes back to their own residences, promoting autonomy and dignity.

Distribution of Funds and Collaboration

In State Fiscal Year 2017, DCA allocated state funds to support the Home Access Program. Collaborating with the Statewide Independent Living Council of Georgia (SILCGA) and its partner agencies, DCA facilitated over 100 home modification projects. These projects included ramps, structural enhancements, and bathroom remodels, tailored to meet the specific needs of individuals with disabilities.

Continuing its commitment to the program, DCA allocated \$100,000 to SILCGA for the Home Access Program this year. A Memorandum of Agreement has been established between DCA and SILCGA to ensure the program's continuity and effectiveness.

Application Process

Individuals seeking home modifications through the Home Access Program must meet specific eligibility criteria:

- They must be disabled.
- The requested modifications must be necessary to support independent living.
- The application process involves several steps:

Contacting the Center for Independent Living (CIL): Applicants reach out to the CIL overseeing their county, where the Contract Administrator (CA) assists them in filing the Referral Request Paperwork.

Submission and Approval: The Referral Request is then submitted to the Housing Policy Coordinator at SILCGA for approval or denial. If approved, a Notice to Proceed is issued to the CIL, allowing the contractor to commence work.

Completion of Modifications: Once the modifications are completed, the CIL assists the applicant in filling out a Disbursement Request to confirm the job's completion.

Funds Disbursement: Upon review of the Disbursement Request and receipt of a testimonial letter from the applicant detailing how the modifications enhance their independence, funds are distributed to the relevant parties.

HAP Benefits and Challenges

Pros:

- Promoting Independence: The Home Access Program empowers individuals with disabilities to live independently in their homes by facilitating necessary modifications.
- Preventing Institutionalization: By enabling individuals to remain in their homes safely, the program helps prevent unnecessary entry into nursing homes, preserving autonomy and quality of life.

Cons:

- Limited Funding: The annual allocation of \$100,000 restricts the program's capacity to assist a larger number of households, resulting in a relatively low average of 8-15 households benefiting each year.
- Demand Outweighs Supply: The high demand for home modifications often exceeds the program's available resources, leaving many individuals with disabilities awaiting assistance.

In conclusion, while the Home Access Program addresses a critical need for home modifications among individuals with disabilities, its effectiveness is constrained by limited funding and high demand. Despite these challenges, the program remains an essential resource in promoting independence and enhancing the quality of life for disabled individuals in Georgia. Continued support and advocacy for increased funding are necessary to expand the program's reach and impact.

Recommendations for Improvement

1. Incentivize Smaller Developers

Recommendation: Introduce state-level incentives such as tax breaks or grant programs to encourage smaller, community-based developers to participate in affordable housing projects.

Expansion: Incentivizing smaller developers is a crucial step towards creating a more diverse and resilient affordable housing market. These developers, often deeply rooted in their communities, bring unique insights and innovative solutions to the table. Yet, they frequently face significant financial barriers that larger developers do not. By offering grants or tax incentives, the state can lower these barriers, enabling smaller developers to engage in affordable housing projects. This approach not only increases the overall number of affordable units but also promotes localized, community-specific housing solutions. Moreover, supporting smaller developers stimulates local economies by keeping development activities within the community, creating jobs and boosting local businesses.

Example

Actionable Step: Establish a Small Developer Grant Program offering up to \$50,000 in pre-development funding for community-based developers.

Implementation: A small nonprofit developer in Macon could apply for the grant to cover initial costs like site surveys, architectural plans, and community engagement. The project might involve converting a vacant lot into a 20-unit affordable housing complex. The funding would be used to conduct a detailed site survey, hire an architect to draft plans, and hold community meetings to gather input and support.

Outcome: Lowering the entry barrier for smaller developers can lead to more diverse and localized housing solutions.

2. Promote Public-Private Partnerships

Recommendation: Encourage partnerships between the state, local governments, and private sector to pool resources and expertise for affordable housing projects.

Expansion: Promoting public-private partnerships (PPPs) can revolutionize the affordable housing sector by combining the strengths of both the public and private spheres. The public sector offers regulatory support and funding, while the private sector brings innovation, efficiency, and additional capital. Together, they can create more sustainable and comprehensive housing projects. PPPs can result in developments that not only include residential units but also integrate retail spaces, community centers, and essential public services. These partnerships enable the sharing of risks and rewards, making large-scale, mixed-use developments more feasible and impactful. By fostering such collaborations, the state can maximize its resources, driving significant progress in addressing housing shortages and enhancing community infrastructure.

Example

Actionable Step: Create a Public-Private Partnership (PPP) Fund that matches state funds with private investments for affordable housing projects.

Implementation: A partnership between the state, a private real estate firm, and a local government in Augusta could lead to the development of a mixed-use complex with 150 affordable housing units, retail spaces, and community services. The state could provide \$5 million in seed funding, matched by \$10 million from the private firm. The local government could offer expedited permitting and infrastructure support.

Outcome: Leveraging private sector innovation and public sector support can lead to more effective and cost-efficient housing solutions.

3. Enhance Funding for Home Modification Programs

Recommendation: Increase state funding for programs like the Home Access Program (HAP) to assist more individuals with disabilities in modifying their homes for accessibility.

Expansion: Enhancing funding for home modification programs is vital for supporting individuals with disabilities, enabling them to live independently and safely. Increased funding allows for comprehensive modifications, such as installing ramps, widening doorways, and adapting bathrooms. These changes significantly improve the quality of life for individuals with disabilities, reducing the need for institutional care and its associated costs. Moreover, enhanced funding can expand the reach of these programs, assisting more households across the state. Prioritizing home modifications aligns with broader goals of social inclusion and accessibility, fostering communities that accommodate all residents and ensuring that everyone can live with dignity and independence.

Example

Actionable Step: Propose a \$1 million increase in state funding for HAP.

Implementation: With this increased funding, HAP could assist an additional 200 households across Georgia in making accessibility modifications such as ramps, wider doorways, and bathroom alterations. The program would prioritize applications based on urgency and need, ensuring that those in critical situations receive timely assistance.

Outcome: Enhanced funding would enable more individuals with disabilities to live independently and safely in their homes, improving their quality of life and reducing the need for institutional care.

4. Increase Funding for Housing Vouchers

Recommendation: Advocate for increased funding for housing voucher programs to assist low-income families in affording rental housing in the private market.

Expansion: Increasing funding for housing vouchers provides immediate and flexible relief for low-income families struggling with housing costs. Housing vouchers bridge the gap between what low-income families can afford and current market rents, enabling them to live in safe and decent homes. This flexibility also supports social integration, as families can move into diverse neighborhoods rather than being concentrated in low-income areas. Moreover, housing vouchers can provide immediate assistance, reducing the risk of homelessness and housing instability. By enhancing funding for housing vouchers, the state can address diverse housing needs, supporting families in maintaining stable living conditions and improving their quality of life.

Example:

Actionable Step: Propose a 15% increase in the state budget for housing vouchers.

Implementation: This increase in funding would allow more low-income families across Georgia to receive rental assistance, helping them secure stable housing. The additional funding would be allocated to existing voucher programs, with priority given to families facing imminent housing loss or those living in high-rent areas.

Outcome: Housing vouchers provide immediate relief for families struggling with housing costs and can be a flexible tool to meet diverse housing needs.

Conclusion

Affordable and accessible housing for individuals with disabilities in Georgia transcends a mere housing issue; it intersects profoundly with economic development, public health, and social equity. The necessity for such housing is evident, given the significant percentage of the population with disabilities and the unique challenges they face. The current housing landscape, marked by high median rents and an insufficient supply of accessible units, underscores the urgent need for targeted interventions and innovative solutions.

One of the most effective strategies to address this issue is increasing funding and support for programs like the Home Access Program (HAP), which facilitates necessary home modifications for individuals with disabilities. Enhanced funding can expand the reach of these programs, enabling more individuals to live independently and safely in their homes, reducing the need for costly institutional care, and improving the overall quality of life for individuals with disabilities.

Promoting public-private partnerships (PPPs) is another crucial strategy, pooling resources and expertise from both the public and private sectors. These partnerships can lead to comprehensive housing projects that include residential units and essential services and amenities. By leveraging the strengths of both sectors, PPPs can drive innovation and efficiency in affordable housing development, creating sustainable and vibrant communities.

Supporting smaller, community-based developers through grants and tax incentives also plays a significant role in addressing the affordable housing shortage. These developers often understand local needs deeply and can provide tailored solutions that larger developers might overlook. Lowering financial barriers for these developers can encourage more localized and innovative housing projects, increasing the diversity and availability of affordable units.

Workforce housing initiatives are essential for ensuring that essential workers, such as teachers, healthcare workers, and first responders, can afford to live in the communities they serve. Developing targeted funding programs and low-interest loans for workforce housing can help retain talent and ensure that these critical workers can live close to their workplaces, enhancing community stability and resilience.

Finally, increasing funding for housing voucher programs is a flexible and immediate way to assist low-income families in affording rental housing in the private market. Housing vouchers bridge the gap between what families can afford and market rents, providing a vital safety net that prevents homelessness and housing instability. By prioritizing funding for these programs, the state can ensure that more families have access to safe and stable housing.

Addressing the affordable and accessible housing needs of individuals with disabilities in Georgia requires a multifaceted approach, including increased funding, public-private partnerships, support for smaller developers, workforce housing initiatives, and expanded housing voucher programs. These strategies, if effectively implemented, can create a more inclusive, resilient, and prosperous Georgia where all individuals can live in safe, affordable, and accessible homes. The path forward demands concerted effort and collaboration, but the potential benefits for individuals, communities, and the state are profound. By prioritizing these initiatives, we can build a future where affordable and accessible housing is a reality for all Georgians.

References

• U.S. Census Bureau. (2022). QuickFacts: Georgia.

https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/GA/DIS010222#DIS010222

- American Disability Rights. (2016). Georgia Disability Statistics. https://americandisabilityrights.org/adr/georgia-disability-statistics
- Cornell University. (2018). 2018 Disability Status Report https://www.disabilitystatistics.org/StatusReports/2018-PDF/2018-StatusReport GA.pdf
- Disability Secrets Published by NOLO (2023). Filing for Disability in Georgia: Social Security and SSI

https://www.disabilitysecrets.com/disability-resources-georgia.html

- Shelter Force. (2023, December 8). The only tool in the box: What it means that LIHTC dominates affordable housing. Retrieved from https://shelterforce.org/2023/12/08/the-only-tool-in-the-box-what-it-means-that-lihtc-dominates-affordable-housing/
- Zillow Rental Manager. (2024) Georgia rental market.

 $\frac{https://www.zillow.com/rental-manager/market-trends/ga/\#:\sim:text=The\%20median\%20rent\%20for\%20all\%20bedrooms\%20and,all\%20property\%20types\%20in\%20Georgia\%20is\%20\%242\%2C000.$

- Rental Real Estate. (2024). Georgia Average Rent Prices. https://rentalrealestate.com/data/rent/georgia/#:~:text=As%20of%202024%2C%20the%20overall%20average%20fair%20market,a%20residential%20rental%20property%20in%20Georgia%20is%20%241%2C222.
- The Atlanta Journal Constitution. (2023). Georgia gets more than 175,000 applicants for housing voucher wait list.

 $\frac{https://www.ajc.com/news/atlanta-news/state-gets-more-than-175000-applicants-for-13000-housing-vouchers/OESQAKO7RZFUVK363SX2QLTQ5M/$